

Joy Brewer

From: Sandra Betten <sbetten003@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 30, 2017 11:45 AM
To: Joy Brewer
Cc: Courtney Panter
Subject: In Support of HB4426,4427

Dear Ms. Brewer,

Please pass along my testimony in support of HB4426 and HB4427.

The Nuremberg Code:

An international code of research ethics developed during the trials of Nazi war criminals after World War II and widely adopted as a standard during the 1950s and 1960s for protecting human subjects involved in clinical trials and research.

Why is the Nuremberg Code relevant to HB4425 and HB4426? Regardless of how emphatically the CDC or the MDHHS proclaims that vaccines are safe, there are at least 5 significant facts to refute this.

A) In 1986, Congress passed the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act (that title alone points to fact that vaccines can injure children). This releases all manufacturers and administrators of vaccines from all liability from any injury caused by a vaccine **1**.

B) over 3.5 billion dollars of vaccine tax money is now reported to have been paid out to those with the determination and knowledge to go through the difficult legal steps to even make it to the Vaccine Injury Court while thousands of other injuries go unaddressed as is listed on VAERS (Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System)² I would suggest that the Education Committee consider why a Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System even exists and how moral is it for such a committee to support the repeated coercion and indoctrination (in combination with lack of true informed consent) of educated parents to vaccinate their children **2**.

C) although the Health Department obviously seems to be unaware of the long list of possible reactions that could happen, oddly, it is very clearly written on many vaccine product inserts that some of the following reactions can occur: Juvenile Rheumatoid Arthritis, Juvenile Diabetes, Seizures, Guillain-Barre, Paralysis, Gastrointestinal illnesses, speech delays, encephalitis, and on and on and on. Even the court case of Hannah Poling 7/2010 awarded money toward an injury that resulted in Autism. These facts are undeniable³.

D) "how" vaccines are tested is actually very controversial. The 70+ dose schedule has never actually been tested to be proven safe? What does that mean? Well if you read a vaccine insert, it will give you part of the story. Lets take Gardasil for instance. As you know, in most scientific studies, you'll have at least two test groups. In this case, 4 groups were tested (a large group of HPV Vaccinated, a smaller control group that received 5 Amorphous Aluminum Hydroxyphosphate Sulfate [AAHS], another

yet smaller group of Saline-Placebo controlled group and 1 non-controlled group). Why are they even testing the virus vaccine against Aluminum Adjuvants?

"Aluminum adjuvants are used in vaccine manufacturing to "stimulate" the immune system. The presence of aluminium adjuvants has been associated with injection-site reactions such as nodules, granulomas and erythema. aluminium adjuvants may lead to the syndrome macrophagic myofascitis, a histological finding where aluminium-containing macrophages infiltrate muscle tissue, and may be accompanied by a clinical syndrome of myalgia, arthralgia and fatigue. A systematic review of controlled safety studies reported that vaccines containing aluminium produce more erythema and induration than other vaccines in young children (up to 18 months of age), and greater local pain in older children (10–18 years).

Animal and human studies have shown that aluminum can cause nerve cell death [1] and that vaccine aluminum adjuvants can allow aluminum to enter the brain, [2,3] as well as cause inflammation at the injection site leading to chronic joint and muscle pain and fatigue. [4,5]" <http://novaccine.com/vaccine-ingredients/aluminum-hydroxyphosphate-sulfate/>

Even the word "placebo" is controversial because in many other cases, the placebo test group is a group of children who are receiving a previous version of a vaccine. May I just give you the definition of a real placebo?

PLACEBO:

"a supposedly inert substance such as a sugar pill or injection of sterile water, given under the guise of effective treatment".

INERT: "1)Slow in action; sluggish; inactive.

2. Devoid of active chemical properties, as the inert gases.

3. Denoting a drug or agent having no pharmacologic or therapeutic action".

How is it that the pharmaceutical companies testing their own product can sometimes claim that aluminum or a previous version of a vaccine is a "placebo"? These "placebos" are obviously not actually inert. On top of that, with regard to my comment regarding the schedule, you will find absolutely NO WHERE any scientific testing by the FDA or the CDC or pharmaceutical companies that lists maximum lifetime dosages that any child should receive of Aluminum (neurotoxin), Polysorbate 80 (carcinogen), MSG (excitotoxin), and more. You will find no indication that injecting human DNA, porcine, monkey, canine, bovine, chicken, or mouse proteins into the body over a 18 year period has been studied and proven safe by the CDC, FDA or the manufacturer. There is no study anywhere that evaluates the synergistic effects of all these toxins on one person's immune system over a lifetime.

E) Every vaccine product insert will include the following language: "not tested for carcinogenic, teratogenic, and mutagenic effects". The companies doing the testing, also known as the pharmaceutical company who developed the vaccine (and/or sometimes the CDC which also holds a number of vaccine patents which should be illegal by anyone standards) ...have not tested to find out if they cause cancer, if they can cause genetic mutation, or cause congenital anomalies or birth defects even as these vaccines are being pushed on pregnant mothers, NICU infants and babies on day 1.

As you can see above, one starts to wonder who is really behind the huge push to coerce parents into vaccinating their children even though knowing what I've explained above...that these vaccines are essentially a true science experiment on a human population. This pressure we are receiving goes against the Nuremberg Code. To increase the difficulty to get a legal right to a waiver, or keep physically healthy kids out of school

with no provision for the constitutional right to an education, while allowing the parents of the so-called "immunocompromised" children the choice to decide is nothing more than coercion and prejudice on a large scale. It is evident by the language of various agencies that the number 1 goal is to remove parent choice as you can see here:

"NACCHO (National Association of County and City Health Officials) encourages eliminating personal belief exemptions. As a way to move toward this goal, NACCHO encourages state and local health departments to limit the casual use of personal belief exemptions to the greatest degree possible."

<http://archived.naccho.org/advocacy/positions/loader.cfm?csModule=security/getfile&PageID=204056>

It also might be helpful to note that NACCHO receives the majority of their funding through the CDC....which is interesting considering the many patents the CDC holds on vaccines.

In conclusion, when it is said that vaccine choice Michiganders should be satisfied that we at least have the right to choose exemptions, it is obvious that resting on that belief is not a choice. We will remain vigilant in our efforts to not only maintain our constitutional rights but also to fight to be heard on behalf of ourselves and our children.

Sincerely,

Sandy Betten
2805 Judson Road
Spring Lake, MI 49456

Supporting Links

1 Unavoidably Unsafe

<http://thinkingmomsrevolution.com/unavoidably-unsafe/>

2 Vaccine Injury Court

<https://sharylattkisson.com/govt-wipes-recent-vaccine-injury-data-from-website/>

https://www.hrsa.gov/vaccinecompensation/data/vicpmonthlyreporttemplate5_1_17.pdf

<https://vaers.hhs.gov/index>

3 Hannah Polling Autism Award

<http://www.cbsnews.com/news/family-to-receive-15m-plus-in-first-ever-vaccine-autism-court-award/>

4 Vaccine Insert (perhaps you should take time to actually read a real insert before voting)

<https://vactruth.com/vaccine-inserts-human/>

4 Gardasil Study

<http://novaccine.com/vaccine-ingredients/aluminum-hydroxyphosphate-sulfate/>

http://www.merck.com/product/usa/pi_circulars/g/gardasil/gardasil_pi.pdf

5 <https://vactruth.com/vaccine-inserts-human/>

6 Why the unvaccinated are not a threat

<http://thinkingmomsrevolution.com/an-open-letter-to-legislators-currently-considering-vaccine-legislation-from-tetyana-obukhanych-phd-in-immunology/>

7 <https://medium.com/@sb442no/what-s-naccho-got-to-do-with-oregon-s-vaccine-exemption-fight-87b16c7c0c77>